



Spring General Meeting
King's Students' Union 2010-2011
June, 2010
The Board Room (2nd floor A&A)

1.1 Call to Order

7:43 PM

1.2 Approval of Agenda and Documents

Moved by Nick Stark

Seconded by Evey Hornbeck

Mr. Nick Gall: We're not taking amendments

Mr. Dave: I guess if someone won't I will.

Mr. Nick Gall: I'd like to move item 1.5.14 to be concurrent with the approval of the budget. I just think they lead into each other quite nicely, action item 1.5.14 figures into the budget, and it makes sense for it be approved with the budget or disapproved as people see fit.

Nick Stark: I few move these in omnibus, if we don't like what you say about the review

Mr. Kai Miller: We can take them out of omnibus

Vote on the amendment

Motion Carried

Vote on approval of the agenda

Agenda approved

1.3 Approval of the Minutes

Mr. Dave Lewis: Were minutes circulated?

Mr. Gabe Hoogers: I don't think they were.

Mr. Nick Gall: We're off to a terrific start.

Mr. John Adams: There are no minutes here.

Mr. Dave: Have they been done?

Mr. Gabe Hoogers: They have, I haven't seen them recently

Mr. Nick Gall: Are they on the website?

Mr. Gabe Hoogers: They won't be until they're approved. I guess we'll have to do it at the next General Meeting.

Seconded by Nick Gall

Vote on Motion

Motion Tabled

1.4 Reports

Mr. Gabe Hoogers: Before I start, is Anna Bishop in the room? I just noticed she was gone.

Mr. David Lewis: It's probably best if the executive stand up.

Mr. Gabe Hoogers: You have the report in front of you. I go over what it means to have a General Meeting, and then how extremely happy I am to be president, especially after such a successful year last year. We as a student body have a lot to be proud of, representing ourselves so well in the city of Halifax and in Nova Scotia as a whole. In terms of moving ahead we've been planning all the time. For next year in terms of the KSU, hiring committees have been going on, found some great UHPs, university hired positions, to fill various roles next year. We are also planning ahead, had that straw poll at the election regarding a canteen, the majority of people who responded said they'd like a union run canteen, we've continued to advocate on behalf of students. Last week Omri and I went to a meeting with one of the Vice Presidents over at Dal who had dismissed the only learning disabilities rep for Dal King's and NSCAD, we were advocating. We continue to do that as things pop up, amazingly successful. So happy I have these people to work with they're all amazing. Things to look forward to in the next year, good things people!

Ms. Anna Dubinski: As Student Life Vice President I've had special things to do toward the end of year. First of all, awards night, I'm sure you've all heard about, I hope you're all coming. I've spent many an hour counting all those k-points you sent me. Amazing food, amazing speakers, amazing performers, a wonderful night celebrating King's executive. Also this is Dr. Barker's last year, special tribute giving him a gold King's Pin, finishing edits to handbook, the blurbs in the front, archive for photos, did a photo shoot, pretty great, we're pretty fierce, all good looking, thanks bethany, soc, uhps, can't announce names yet, I'm so delighted with the ones I've found, they're great I'm excited to work with them, with plans for next year. The main thing is, I would like to change everything to do with how awards night is run, because right now it's not, there's literally nothing about it. I'm going to make it happen, also plans for a potential FYP trip frosh week in another country. I would really like to make events coordination a thing again, those are some projects for myself, thank you.

Mr. David Lewis: Nick, You'll speak when you present finances right, moving on to Omri Haven.

Mr. Omri Haven: Hi general assembly, I'm going to go over some of the highlights of what I've been doing, although there is a lot of other stuff that's a lot more boring. A couple weeks ago I helped to organize one of Halifax's first activist conferences, that has a lot to do with my position. I'll be taking a lot of those skills forward with my work in Action King's. In the past few weeks, Gabe, my partner in crime, mentioned what we did in Dal; we crashed a few parties. The First was with acc Coordinators, the second was with DSU, they proposed an online opt out for several organizations. We went and spoke on behalf of King's. Also, part of the marathon executive meeting which technically lasted 2 days, 11pm to 1:30 AM, and I am really interested in getting people's ideas. Rebecca worked with the Maritimes organization for CFS on the ISIC stuff, faced a bit of an ISIC crisis and that was averted. One of the most important positions I have is the ISIC coordinator. I will be filling that duty on Friday. Come by the office from 12-3:30 on Friday and have your ISICS done. I think that's pretty much it. I'm really looking forward to working with you all.

Ms. Anna Bishop: Hello everybody. So I've been busy the last couple weeks making TWAK and sending them to everyone, I hope everyone here is registered, it's awesome getting informed. If you don't want to be on it, send me an email, or if you're not subscribed also send me an email. I also went to the CFS General Meeting which was great, the president of SUNSCAD who designed their website which is beautiful, if you look at it your mouth will drop open. If you look at ours even more, she gave me a manual on coding, I talked to guy who did it, he's down with helping me redo ours in fall. I'm still working on the blog, got awesome plants in KSU office, will be transformed into a garden, but if not we'll just have some plants. If you're ever in and they look dry there's a water bottle with a hole that you can use.

1.5 Action Items

David Lewis: We do have a chat sheet for Robert's rules. Don't be afraid to put up your hand and say you're confused. It happens all the time.

1.5.1

If General Meeting's agenda, it will be discussed at next meeting of council.

Seconded – David Lewis

Mr. Gabe Hoogers: Standard procedure. If people trickle out, we want to get this done eventually so council will be in September.

Ms. Bethany Hindmarsh: Can't Vote.

Mr Nick: But encouraged.

Vote

Motion Carried

1.5.2 BIRT

1.5.14

Mr. Nick Gall: Proposed operating budget for upcoming academic year. As a little overview: four main categories of budget, largest is health and dental plan, IC is about 20 percent, levies is about 30 percent, no real control, CKDU, frosh week, DWC, all these wonderful services on campus and in the community, and about a quarter is discretionary. Of that discretionary we have committees, a small sliver of budget pie, which looks subs, in effect all they do is pay themselves that includes frosh week, yearbook that students do that at larger universities students don't do. I think we're the only major university in Canada that has a student run frosh week, student services is about a third. Campaigns, management is bookkeeping, office supplies, photocopier, all that good stuff. Can I see your copy of the budget there? This budget that was circulated is slightly different from this one. It contains this line that says total revenue, that's completely wrong, I added levy to stud fee, which is the opposite of what I intended to do, I meant to subtract it, really awkward, just painful let's move on. ATM fees I thought this was going to go down a lot, it went up, I think more people are using the ATM since the fee was reduced by 43 percent.

Applause

Mr. Nick Gall: Union operations, I'm not sure why I decided to leave election fund blank. I think I wasn't sure how much it was going to be, but I left it the same. Ops Impr, got rid of that because it doesn't mean anything/ Wardy cap excepted, I understand there is some controversy about this being removed, but the Wardy is no longer losing money, in fact it returns a small profit. That money could be redirected to capital purchases such as an ice machine, cash register, rather than having it come out of student fees which are paid by students that can't actually drink in the Wardy. New things, summer operations, Gabe?

Mr. Gabe Hoogers: Before the end of this meeting, we will have had a line in the constitution that says that in the absence of council the exec committee. Can do anything it wants, basically. So that was how we distribute funding in previous years during the summer. Often societies will ask for some funding for events and to make some purchases. Run the union during the summer, added this line so that while John and Anna and myself are here things can go smoothly and we can get rid of that really dubious line.

Mr. Nick Gall: Basically summer operations would be limited to that amount whereas before there was a bit of a 'wild west' operation, to her new one cell phone stipend.

Mr. Gabe Hoogers: Most organizations of our size and responsibility have had for a long time now, for the last month I've been harassing Anna Bishop to get a cell phone because she doesn't have one, we need cell phones to do our jobs, that's 15 per person times 8 months in office, I'm going to use it to get caller idea, I do need it for various presidential operations.

Mr. Nick Gall: So that's our operation budget, as you may notice, cont dropped substantially. We this year was kind of dismal on that front. I'll be the first to admit, we were struck by toner pirates, had to pay exorbitant fees for yearbook because someone thought it would be a good idea to make every page colour and glossy, the yearbook cost 5 times as much as it was supposed to. There were also unanticipated add actual and auditing costs that I thought should be included in continuation because they would not reappear and didn't make sense for them to be a budget line, cont is almost drain, perfect amount I thought.

Mr. Gabe Hoogers: I'm actually going to amend to remove that because at the moment we haven't sorted out the details with Nick Hatt. That is an amount of money that could perhaps be taken from summer events line, that's not a certainty.

Mr. Nick Gall: Garden Coordinator is a terrible idea. Time for Usher's Confessions part II. This 24,000 shortfall is all me, there was a discretion between how I and the bursar thought our revenue was allocated, to the effect that I thought we received society levies in addition to student fees when in fact it's part of. We posted a huge shortfall, but only on paper. At the bank we're laughing, a lot of lines were underspent, this is not actually as dire as it looks. We grossly over-budgeted for a deficit last year, as you see, revised, it will not happen again. As Usher would say it's the hardest thing I ever done, you all deserve better, I will work for greater accountability in future, in my defense. I'm being fully disclosive right now, instead of doing creative acting.

Applause

Mr. Nick Gall: We'll discuss it now I guess, but there's another thing.

Mr. David Lewis: So we have the amendment on the table.

Seconded by Dan Brown

Ms. Evey Hornbeck: Where's the garden going to be?

Mr. Nick Gall: Tent behind Nick Hatt's house.

Vote

Motion Carried

Mr. Dan Brown: Add a new budget line. Can I do that?

Mr. David Lewis: You will be recognized before you can do that.

Mr. Dan Brown: I'd like to add a new budget line called cab fund, could be as large as \$250 dollars now that we have the money, but I was thinking more along the lines of \$60.

Mr. David Lewis: \$60 or \$250?

Mr. Dan Brown: \$60

Seconded by Ms. Evey Hornbeck

Mr. Dan Brown: The idea is that having formerly been on executive as EVP, there's a lot of executive meetings you go to and sometimes it's a blizzard and it's cold and you need to look good, you need to make sure classes are a priority. I think you should be able to take a cab downtown for a meeting.

Ms. Sammy N: Why isn't that continued?

Ms. Anna Bishop: Because you need to have a specific line.

Mr. Wesley Petite: Don't you think in an era where we're trying to lower fees, don't you think cell phones and cab lines is contradictory.

Mr. Dan Brown: You need to be able to get there, you need to be prepared. There are certain priority essentials.

Mr. Omri Haven: In order to lower tuition fees, we need to be able to have access to places where you can negotiate.

Ms. Anna Dubinski: Student fees are not being increased, just distributed differently.

Mr. Nevin: That sort of thing that should be part of your, what's the word, your honorarium.

Mr. Dan Brown: Honorarium is like personal money that we use to pay rent. We take time out of class and work day to go to emergency meetings downtown. Leonard Preyra, Marilyn More twice. It's imperative that you get there on time, can't pay out of your own pockets. We're the least paid executive in the country.

Mr. Nick Stark: It's a thing to have, essential to organization of union and not and members.

Ms. Anna Bishop: It's not like we'd be using that money to take us home after a night on the town, all the times would be for things that help everyone, to be at your service.

Mr. John Adams: The amount currently is 60 which is probably about 6 cab rides in a year, we call this a non-starter argument. This is \$60, keep things in perspective.

Vote

Motion Carried

Mr. Gabe Hoogers: I just wanted to mention this as a bit of a heads up, it was sort of indirectly brought up in previous discussion, as was mentioned we are currently one of lowest paid execs in country. So much so that it has actually infringed upon

peoples' ability to run for an exec position, a lot of people find that to be a problem, haven't included here because we're not sure how we want to go about it. It is definitely within the realm of possibility to increase. I'm doing some research, looking into student unions that have student body of similar size, determining best way to do that. A good way to do that research will be at the CFS general meeting in June, likely be brought up at our next General Meeting in the fall, if anyone has any questions go ahead, definitely something in the works.

Mr. Dave Lewis: To get on the list, you can put your hand up at any time.

Ms. Liz Johnston: Can we speak a little to auditing costs in past years, and then also, can I ask a two partner? Then also I just wonder about the checks and balances you go through for yourself. I don't know, for the budget that comes across when there's an unfortunate glaring deficit that is one of those things, how would you go about for the future, I'm sorry, how do we avoid this kind of thing?

Mr. Gabe Hoogers: In Nick's defense -

Ms. Liz Johnston: This is not in any way an attack, I'm just wondering.

Mr. Gabe Hoogers: I think what happened is there was an improper changeover at the time Nick took position of FYP. Now that we know how budgets work and Nick is around to provide that info, that will not happen again.

Mr. Nick Gall: I f*cked up bad. It's terrible, it's unconscionable, I haven't fully calculated because cheques are still coming in. I will not have posted overall operating deficit. A lot of lines were underspent, which is reference in changes made to this budget, however, the fact I made that miscalculation is unacceptable and I will work to rectify that. In regard to past auditing, when I started we working on 08-09, now we are up to date, since I was only expecting to do one and we did three we don't need to change that.

Mr. Kai Miller: I move to reinsert Wardy cap budget line at a value of 3000 dollars.

Seconded by DJ Rossi

Mr. Kai Miller: I just think that in the past we've seen a significant investment by the Student Union in the wardroom. A lot of years this has been a completely illegitimate sketchy investment, but there still has been constant investment. I think the Wardy is one of the most important services the union offers, a core for student life where a lot of interaction happens both at day and at night. I think it's important to fund cap expenses. Nick brought up that the Wardy is no longer hemorrhaging money this year, which is great, but they go up and down a lot. We've been paying back taxes, things just break, ice machine is broken, dishwasher has broken, till is broken, unexpected things happen, if we're lucky we won't have to.

Mr. Stephen Duchon: Student Union has brought itself far away from bar in past year, but it's still a very important part of the union. Things like ice machine

breaking sucks for ice because staff has to go get it or the Wardy has to buy it. That said, people use it throughout the day, we have no way to gage how these things happen. Having something to help us in the future deal with anything that might come up is just a safety line we don't necessarily have to infringe upon, but is in my eyes completely necessary, only one year we've run a profit.

Ms. Chastity Smith: I question what role the funds from the Alex Fountain donation will pay. \$20 000 wasn't a small portion supposed to be set aside if something breaks to fix it. Should that reflect maybe more or less and why \$3000 would be a good number?

Mr. Nick Gall: That donation is going toward more long term structural projects, that amount and maybe more, not really pertaining, but good idea, thank you for bringing that up.

Mr. DJ Rossi: it was so good to have a contingent fund in the KSU's budget, I think we should use that same prudence to have a contingent fund for the wardroom ongoing. I understand money's tight, but my support would definitely be behind this sort of contigence fund for a huge part of our campus.

Mr. Nick Gall: What we're all advocating here isn't really a capital imp. fund, but a contingent line, which isn't a removal at all. It's a new thing. If we want some sort of contingent set up then that's entirely different, moreover the KSU has not been divesting itself of the Wardy at all, maintain firm Commitment, resources will make aside contributions to these things. If people are in favour of adding a Wardy Contingent line, I feel fine about that, but it's a different matter from cap expectations.

Ms. Judy O: I thought the purpose of the capital improvement fund is things like furniture. If aesthetic improvement won't happen for a long time, then isn't that with capital?

Mr. Gabe Hoogers: I just wanted to ask that perhaps the idea behind removing this line was indeed that of the Wardy. The Wardy did post a profit this year. It's not to deal with all of these things we've been alluding to. The budget is what we can project for the next year. What we've seen demonstrates that the Wardy can sustain itself. I want to respond to idea that KSU is moving away from the Wardy, not at all the case. Impossible to acknowledge, there is an elected rep on WBoM. I'm on that and also on the Wardy Junior Management Committee, and our staff person, John, manages the Wardy. This just seems like the most pragmatic way of going about things, if we do want a Wardy, contingent then that's something we should look at rather than hiding it in other terms.

Ms. Stephanie: I didn't mean moving away from operations, but moving away from bar in not having those 3000.

Mr. Gabe Hoogers: Safe to project we'll have the money to do that and everything with the current profits posted this year.

Mr. Noah White: I know it's smaller, but is there any Possibility of the general control being directed to the Wardy if they're in extremely dire need?

Mr. Gabe Hoogers: A Possibility, given the Wardy is a KSU run operation, it would fall onto our control.

Mr. Noah White: Is it necessary to have the Warty control set aside from the KSU control?

Mr. Gabe Hoogers: Excellent point, not entirely necessary.

Ms. Liz Johnston: Just wonder if anyone can speak to their understanding of the renovations and what that would mean as far as next year and coming years of capital improvements.

Mr. Gabe Hoogers: Over the summer there will be structural renovations, this wall is going to be destroyed and the new bar created. So right now we're not looking at general bar improvements, that's going to be an entirely new project that we're going to have to fundraise for and nick alluded to how we will likely have to put money up toward that to get that done in the coming years.

Vote on the amendment

Motion Defeated

Mr. Nick Gall: Don't we need to go over this other

Mr. David Lewis: Correct, 1.5.14

Mr. Nick Gall: Very quick, 1.5.14 I believe was: I proposed that we switch from a year-end audit to a year-end review. This young woman is concerned about understanding reports on financial statements, so let's take a journey. Basically at the end of each year we receive a financial statement from our auditors. We work with Stephen Grey, King's alumnus, we spoke on phone about this. He sent me this pres, what I'm proposing is we switch from an audit to a review. Each of these lends a different credibility to statements, basically right now we have an audit. The tallest bar there, it's the highest level of financial accountability in a rep. Basically, they take an assumption of guilty until proven innocent, with every single check and embezzlement. They go through our books with a fine-tooth comb and issue a representative which says to the best of our knowledge we can't prove they haven't stolen anything but we can't guarantee, the review, that nice gold bar, is innocent until proven guilty. I'm not expecting to find any gross fiscal mismanagement, just slightly lower level of legal accountability, in our case it part makes sense because the overwhelming majority of our revenue only comes from three checks from chartered universities. All our expenses are personally checked by executives, approved in the budget by this body. In grand scheme, they're quite miniscule; we saw our discretionary spending is just over 25 %. As far as I could tell, none of these audits have ever turned up any financial misdemeanor, also a review recorded in a

much more timely manner. It takes half the time, get reps much more quickly and be more relevant. The other option is basically just our reports in a nice folder. The salient point is based on nothing has come to my attention that causes me to believe these faxes are not in accord with Canadian General Financial Principles. Save about half our reports budget, already have a diligent book keeper, on excellent terms, bookkeeper and I. In future, I anticipate that rel will continue, that sort of, this type of report is useful when a company doesn't need audited financial statements. Of course I welcome discussion to this end

Ms. Evey Hornbeck: Are these made public?

Mr. Nick Gall: Absolutely

Ms. Evey Hornbeck: Would they be reviewed?

Mr. Nick Gall: Yes

Mr. Jake Eidinger: Your audit budget for fall of 2010 is \$3000. Is that normal?

Mr. Nick Gall: That reflects both anticipated lower costs and anticipated higher costs of biking. It's my best estimate, and it will probably be revised in fall.

Mr. Asher Goldstein: I'd like to include union count by \$1500 dollars.

Mr. David Lewis: That would bring the total to 9199.62

Seconded by Kai Miller

Mr. Asher Goldstein: If this was spoken before, I had class, and motivating for this part with reference to Wardy and unforeseen costs which we will no longer be able to deal with since we no longer have a CPA impact budget. If they need to fund a replacement of broken till or ice machine, it will not be taking from cont that may be necessary for other people. These are large spends, this is the first year the bar has been profitable in recent memory. We cannot expect it to continue fund itself. 50% percent red from past, thank you, please consider how much you love ice in your drinks and a till that works so you get the right change.

Mr. Gabe Hoogers: It's just sort of, I'm wondering where the \$1500 would come from, if we approve this, we would be posting a project deficit.

Mr. Nick Gall: We can, we can run a deficit if you guys want.

Ms. Stefanie: We have 250 dollars for the garden.

Mr. David Lewis: so the amendment can leave the budget in a deficit or someone can amend another line.

Taylor: Nick says this, will then take a thousand away.

Mr. Nick Gall: That's already acted for.

Ms. Liz Johnston: I wonder if you can speak to previous suggestion of creating a contingency line for the Wardy.

Mr. Nick Gall: We could.

Ms. Liz Johnston: Would that affect it differently than adding to general contingency?

Mr. Nick Gall: I don't think so.

Ms. Liz Johnston: We'd still have to look for the money elsewhere and the line we cut for \$3000.

Mr. Nick Gall: Reallocated already.

Mr. Jake: Project audit fee is assuming we change to review?

Mr. Nick Gall: Yes, sorry if that was too bad.

Mr. Asher Goldstein: This change being proposed is a change this year. I'm not saying we should restore it, but there needs to be. If this body would entertain John Fielding directly financing questions at the bar or whatever you prefer, it's imperative we have money for cap. imp because we won't have enough. I mean cont.

Mr. Nick Gall: This discretion is misleading because it assumes that cont is lower than previous years. In reality, in my first year it was only 1000, now it's 7 times that. Even with Wardy cap exp in addition to that I don't think it was ever fully used. Then again hard to say with that budget, given what has been required this year with everything breaking, and given we're not going to have to run into toner piracy, not deal with bookkeeping, yearbook be way cheaper. I think our current contingency budget is more than sufficient to cover any Wardy issues that arise in the future.

Mr Asher Goldstein: Can you speak to that a little more directly, number by number? What I'm envisioning is a general low estimated cost of \$1500

Mr. Nick Gall: I think it's safe to say it would be covered in that \$7000.

Mr. Noah White: As opposed to adding \$1500 we were just discussing using KSU Contingency for when these things come up. This is foresight for contingency. If we keep it at \$7000, we could just take \$1500 out of contingency as we just discussed

Ms. Evey Hornbeck: If the till is broken and ice machine is broken and we have a contingency fund, why hasn't that already been replaced.

Nick Gall: Talk to John

Mr. Gabe Hoogers: I'd like to field it to any member of junior management

Nick Gall: No one's approached me.

Mr. Asher Goldstein: As it stands now, from my knowledge, if it pleases the general body, I would like to tap out John Adams.

Mr. John Adams: I've sat this one out because it's kind of awkward for me. I didn't know about this until today. The question is why we haven't used this past year's contingency fund toward the current repairs we need. The best way I can describe it, we will post a profit this year, but our year end was 6 days ago, it's impossible to predict as of now how much it is. There's outstanding invoices, there's bookings to be done.

Ms. Evey Hornbeck: There was a capital imp. fund this past year, not Wardy profits, but that fund. Why wasn't it used?

Mr. John Adams: because I agree it would be nice in an ideal world that it would be nice if the Wardy could cover its own expenses. I was hoping we would have enough. Next week when we're done doing numbers, this is all hypothetically, let's say that next week I find out we're posting a profit of 2000 and the ice machine is going to cost 3000. Then we would have a contingency fund. The Wardy will cover its own cost, the reason we've had contingency funds in the past was so that if the Wardy couldn't, they wouldn't go untreated. Without a contingency fund, if the Wardy doesn't get enough I'm not sure where that will come from

Mr. Kai Miller: I think I can motivate against incremental contingency fund, can we consider splitting some from contingency to be a Wardy contingency fund.

Vote on the amendment

Motion Defeated

Mr. Nick Gall: I move to amend the budget line for contingency to be reduced by \$1500 to \$6199.61 and dedicated to Wardy Contingency.

Seconded by Mr. Asher Goldstein.

Mr. Nick Gall: It's all been said.

Vote

Motion Carried

Vote on items 1.5.2 and 1.5.14

Motions Carried

Applause

Motion 1.5.3

Be it resolved that the amendments to KSU Constitution and Procedure be adopted as attached

Moved by Ms. Anna Bishop

Ms. Anna Bishop: Just going to get this underway, a bit different from Nick's presentation, that was all his doing. Good Job.

Applause

Ms. Anna Bishop: With me it's a little different, it's not like I went in and decided to change constitution. This is a result of CRC and what they brought in at last council.

Mr. Gabe Hoogers: I'd like to give a bit of intro to what we're dealing with. Council recorded that the structure of council is not a just system as it is, insofar as we will be getting a Masters of Journalism program next year, and who will be 'repped' on council, and the current shortfalls that have occurred on council over the past years. Our goal on CRC was how to make council positions more effective, how to best represent the student body, and how to account for new journalism students. I think came up with best possible solution.

Ms. Anna Bishop: Not like we decided blindly. Dave Lewis made a survey that was sent to old councilors. Like 15 respondents, general response was that council needs to be more effective, things in bold are going to be different: residence rep and Nrep, the Residence Rep. will be either Chair of Bays or Alexandra Hall President, they will have one vote between them, each council they will decide who's going to go, the Journalism rep will be one rep for all programs, including the masters program, in the interest of making council effective. One rep would suffice to cover it, they would need to be more in communication with all the programs.

Mr. Gabe Hoogers: We consider having one for each, but that would have made representatives, completely lopsided, this actually more fair than the current situation, which has an arts representative representing most of you here.

Ms. Anna Bishop: For DSS, the position will be offered first to FVP then either president or CVP, that means the vote, they can all come to council.

Mr. Gabe Hoogers: Just to put it in context, none of the respondents, one of the things the FVP of DSS can do now is sit on WBOM, that wasn't the case in the past, in the past the first year rep sat on it and that makes no sense.

Ms. Anna Bishop: In first year you can't even drink, it made no sense. Residence rep shall be offered to either of them respectively, one residence vote, either Chair of Bays or Alex Hall, expecting them to communicate over the year about who will go. DSS VP will go on WBOM, made more sense, given more day students go to Wardy to drink than first years, this is just the first year response. The only thing we added was to make official FYP shirts, to make sure it will get done, arts rep, we've added to meet with EMSP, CSP and host societies to discuss what's going on and what they're supposed to represent. J-school reps hold the interest of students in all Journalism programs. Bog reps shall sit on Council. This was not in the constitution

before and we thought this could be an adequate response and really fit with everything else they're supposed to do.

Mr. Gabe Hoogers: They have sat in the past, it just wasn't written out in our constitution. This is making it in line with the reality of things.

Ms. Anna Bishop: This is changing up a little more, we wanted to make them more of an active presence, liaison between exec and council and exec and community, receive and review during a meeting with exec and have a monthly meeting. Everyone would be a little more up to speed and increase transparency.

Applause

Ms. Anna Bishop: Open it up for discussion

Ms. Judy: In addition to the changes to council, CRC also proposed at least one other change to the constitution, about taking out that the executive can do whatever they want.

Ms. Anna Bishop: Yes, I just didn't put it in the power point.

Mr. David Lewis: How would that change in the constitution?

Ms. Anna Bishop: We just took it out.

Mr. David Lewis: So section 9 58 would be struck?

Mr. Noah White: I'd like to go to. Gabe can you find that page? So this one here reads that DS VP f is to sit on WVOM as a voting member, I just want to clarify it that its FVP because they're on that they are the first vote on council if they sit on that they have to be first vote. I want to read similarly to residence so that either one is sitting there, so that either the FVP or the president of the DSS will sit on the WBOM and therefore have the first vote on council.

Seconded by Asher Goldstein

Ms. Anna Bishop: Can I just ask why, do you think it would be a better allocation of responsibility? I think it would be better to just be one person's responsibility and they can always come and always know what is going on. I'm just worried that if there are two people that are in charge of being on the board this could just result in a less effective job being done.

Mr. Noah White: To speak to that, what this would mean is if FVP, this is just one position now. If they sit there they have to sit on council, so if one sits on there they have to sit on council, so if the FVP were not going to be on council it would be one or the other, no teach sometimes.

Mr. Asher Goldstein: I want to motivate strongly in favour of this amendment. It seems like a practical red in the event to FWBOM not suiting the schedule of the FVP.

Vote

Amendment Carried

Vote

Item 1.5.3 Carried

Applause

1.5.4

Be it resolved that and attend the CFS semi-annual General Meeting as Representatives of KSU local11

Ms Anna Dubinski: Can we accept that as a typo?

Ms Anna Bishop: Also Gabe is not, I kept it from Kiki's day.

Seconded by Sammy

Mr. Gabe Hoogers: The 2011 CFS semi-annual General Meeting will be taking place in Ottawa at the beginning of June. We need some reps to go out and represent the King's Student Union on a national scale. It's our role to come up with some names that will rep us well there.

Mr. Gabe Hoogers: Three names: Myself, Anna D, and Nick Stark.

Mr. David Lewis: If there are no further nominations, someone could simply amend to fill in the blanks.

Mr. David Lewis: I so amend.

Seconded by Kristy

Vote

Motion Carried

Name Abstain

Mr. Dan Brown: Should we not recommend and alternate?

Mr. David Lewis: We could do that right now, if someone would like to nominate an alternative, we can do that, but I'm guessing these 3 people are probably okay.

Mr. Dan Brown: But if one of them gets sick?

Mr. Noah White: Or has an unfortunate accident.

Mr. David Lewis: If someone would like to nominate an alternative.

Someone: Dan Brown.

Mr. Dan Brown: I don't accept.

Someone Else: David Lewis.

David Lewis: I decline.

Mr. Noah White: I nominate myself as the alternate.

Mr. David Lewis: Is it the will of the general body that Noah attends where anyone unable to? Okay

Vote

Motion Carried

Named Abstain

Mr. Gabe Hoogers: What's the status of Noah being alternate?

Mr. David Lewis: It's the will of the general body.

Mr. Gabe Hoogers: That didn't sound like it.

Mr. Nick Gall: I think the motion to add an alternative is out of order.

Mr. David Lewis: To be fair, executive is in its right not to bring these to general body. They do have the mandate in our government documents to fill the empty spot. This motion has passed.

Mr. David Lewis: Without Noah, no offence to Noah, but you put forward nominations and there are only three, usually if there are more nominations, than the person who lost is alternate.

Mr. Dave: Listen, Noah, that was just approved as the general will, which is not binding, but it turns out it was not the general will, we will have our three names. Is that the general will that if one of these three is not able to attend we leave it up to the exec?

1.5.5 Be It Resolved That members at large of the CRC be - - and -

Seconded by Jake

Ms. Anna Bishop: We do the things that you just saw on the Powerpoint presentation. We meet twice a year and review constitutions and go through it and try to keep tabs on what's going on in the school year and whether it needs to be reviewed or not. What needs to be added and if anything needs to be taken out.

Ms. Anna Bishop: Gabe Hoogers, Nick Stark and David Lewis.

Ms. Anna Dubinski: I can Self-nominate as an alternate.

Mr. Dave: No, we'd have to vote. Do we have quorum?

Mr. David Lewis: I was so excited to be on CRC and you ruined it.

Adjourned

9:27 PM

